

PANORAMIC

# HEALTHCARE ENFORCEMENT & LITIGATION

Mexico

 LEXOLOGY

# Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation

Contributing Editor

**Daniel J. Hettich**

King & Spalding LLP

**Generated on: January 7, 2026**

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. Copyright 2006 - 2026 Law Business Research

# Contents

## Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation

### OVERVIEW

- Healthcare funding
- Delivery
- Key legislation
- Responsible agencies
- Scope of enforcement
- Regulation of pharmaceutical products and medical devices
- Scope of enforcement
- Other agencies
- Simultaneous investigations

### REGULATION OF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS AND MEDICAL DEVICES

- Monitoring powers
- Investigation time frames
- Access to investigation materials
- Investigations abroad
- Enforcement proceedings
- Sanctions
- Actions against employees
- Defences and appeals
- Minimising exposure
- Recent enforcement activities
- Self-governing bodies

### RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS AND SUPPLIERS

- Relationship rules
- Enforcement
- Reporting requirements

### REGULATION OF HEALTHCARE DELIVERY

- Authority powers
- Investigation time frames
- Access to investigation materials
- Enforcement agencies
- Sanctions
- Defences and appeals
- Minimising exposure
- Recent enforcement activities
- Self-governing bodies
- Remedies for poor performance

## PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT

- Causes of action
- Framework for claims
- Seeking recourse
- Compensation
- Class and collective actions
- Review
- Whistleblowers

## CROSS-BORDER ENFORCEMENT AND EXTRATERRITORIALITY

- Cooperation with foreign counterparts
- Triggering investigations
- Pursuing foreign entities for infringement

## UPDATE AND TRENDS

- Key developments of the past year

# Contributors

## Mexico

### OLIVARES



**Armando Arenas**

armando.arenas@olivares.mx

**Karla Olvera**

karla.olvera@olivares.mx

## OVERVIEW

### Healthcare funding

In general terms, how is healthcare, including access to medicines and medical devices, funded in your jurisdiction? Outline the roles of the public and private sectors.

The Ministry of Health governs the health system in Mexico. The Mexican healthcare system comprises public (social security institutions) and private institutions, insurers and independent professionals.

The public sector comprises:

- social security institutions, which exclusively attend to formal workers, the funding of which comes from contributions by the federal government, employers and employees, such as:
  - the Mexican Institute of Social Security (IMSS), which provides social security for the self-employed and employees in private companies;
  - the Institute of Social Security for State Workers (ISSSTE);
  - specialised public institutions for members of the armed forces; and
  - PEMEX Medical Services, for Mexican petroleum workers; and
- public institutions exclusively directed to attend people not covered by social security and which are funded by the federal government and states (although in certain cases patients may be required to pay a contribution, taking into account their financial means and the cost of services).

The public health sector normally faces financial problems and implements measures to limit costs by, for example, pressing for price reductions in consolidated public tenders (involving the most important health institutions) and encouraging competition.

Individuals and private insurers fund the private sector. Private health insurance generally covers professional, executive and higher levels of the private sector. According to official figures, up to 50 per cent of annual health spending in Mexico comes from out-of-pocket expenses related to private doctors, insurance and drug acquisitions.

In the public sector, social security and public institutions provide medicines directly to the public at no cost.

Social security institutions, such as the IMSS and ISSSTE, are responsible for delivering medicines to their beneficiaries. After a medical appointment, the treating doctor delivers a prescription with a validity period that varies depending on the type of condition. To obtain medication free of charge, the patient must present a valid prescription to the pharmacy of the institution itself or any pharmacy designated by the institution.

Public health institutions for people who do not have social security aim to deliver free medical care and medicines, although patients may be required to contribute personally under certain conditions.

On 30 May 2023, the 'Decree reforming, adding, and repealing various provisions of the General Health Law, to regulate the Health System for Welfare' came into effect, establishing the dissolution of INSABI and providing that the functions of INSABI will become part of the Mexican Institute of Social Security for Welfare (IMSS-Bienestar), which, in collaboration with the Ministry of Health, will be the decentralised entity in charge of providing free health services, medicines and other supplies to people without social security in Mexico.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Delivery**

**In general terms, how is healthcare delivered in your jurisdiction? Outline the roles of the public and private sectors.**

In the public sector, employers have the obligation to enrol their workers in the corresponding regime and to pay the required fees so that their beneficiaries can have access to health institutions. It is the obligation and responsibility of the beneficiary to personally carry out the registration procedures in the family medicine unit of initial care that corresponds to them according to their domicile, where they are assigned a general practitioner. Healthcare is structured by levels and includes first, second and third levels, including the free supply of medicines.

At the first level, health promotion, prevention and ambulatory care actions are carried out, provided by general or family doctors and nursing staff. The second level of care is provided essentially in hospitals, with outpatient and inpatient services by specialist doctors. At the third level, specialist care of greater complexity is carried out, as well as clinical investigations by specialist doctors with the support of other professionals.

In the public sector, social security and public institutions provide medicines directly to the public at no cost. Each institution is responsible for the delivery of medications to its beneficiaries. After a medical appointment, the treating doctor delivers a prescription where the number and quantity of medications to be prescribed to the patient will be determined. In all cases, these prescriptions have a validity period to be claimed at the corresponding institution, depending on the type of condition. With a valid prescription, the patient must go to the pharmacy of the institution itself or those alternates designated by each institution so that the medication is delivered at no cost, as the provision of medicines to the beneficiaries of these institutions is completely free. In many cases, the federal or state governments contract with private third parties for the delivery of health services.

Private health services vary depending on whether or not the patient has contracted private health insurance, the chosen hospital unit, the insurer and the coverage of the chosen policy.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Key legislation**

**Identify the key legislation governing the delivery of healthcare and establishing the regulatory framework.**

Key legislation includes the following:

- the [General Health Law](#);
- the [General Health Law Regulations](#);
- the [Health Supplies Regulation](#);
- the Official Mexican Standards (NOMs);and
- the Mexican Pharmacopoeia.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025

### Responsible agencies

Which agencies are principally responsible for the enforcement of laws and rules applicable to the delivery of healthcare?

The regulatory authorities in this field are the following.

Federal Commission for Protection against Sanitary Risk

The Federal Commission for Protection against Sanitary Risk(COFEPRIS) is a decentralised agency of the Ministry of Health, in charge of the control and surveillance of all aspects related to sanitary regulation (in connection with drugs, medical devices, health services, food supplements, food and beverages, cosmetics, pesticides, clinical studies, etc).

General Health Council

The General Health Council is an agency controlled by the Executive and is funded by the federal government.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025

### Scope of enforcement

What is the scope of their enforcement and regulatory responsibilities?

In accordance with the General Health Law, COFEPRIS is in charge of the following:

- the sanitary regulation, surveillance and control of public social security institutions and private institutions;
- the sanitary control of products and services, and their importation and exportation;
- the sanitary control of the processing, use, maintenance, import, export and disposal of medical equipment, prosthetics, orthotics, functional aids, diagnostic agents, dental supplies, surgical materials, healing and hygienic products;
- preparing and issuing NOMs relating to health facilities, products and services;
- evaluating, issuing or revoking sanitary authorisations;
- exercising control and sanitary surveillance of drugs and other health supplies;
-



disposal of organs, tissues, human cells and their components, toxic or dangerous substances, biotechnological products and raw materials;

- exercising control and surveillance of the advertising of sanitary activities, products and services; and
- imposing sanctions and implementing security measures.

The General Health Council is responsible for:

- preparing, updating and circulating the National Compendium of Health Supplies through the creation of groups of experts from all public health institutions, which decide on the inclusion of new medicines, therapies, devices and other products in the compendium;
- preparing and updating the Guidelines for the Evaluation of Health Supplies; and
- preparing the Guidelines for Interchangeability Tests of medicines that are submitted to COFEPRIS for the granting of marketing authorisations of generics, among others.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **Regulation of pharmaceutical products and medical devices**

**Which agencies are principally responsible for the regulation of pharmaceutical products and medical devices?**

The regulatory authorities in this field are the following.

COFEPRIS

COFEPRIS is a decentralised agency of the Ministry of Health, in charge of the control and surveillance of all aspects related to sanitary regulation (in connection with drugs, medical devices, health services, food supplements, food and beverages, cosmetics, pesticides, clinical studies, and so on).

General Health Council

The General Health Council is an agency controlled by the Executive and is funded by the federal government.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **Scope of enforcement**

**What is the scope of their enforcement and regulatory responsibilities?**

The General Health Council is in charge of the following:

- preparing, updating and circulating the National Compendium of Health Supplies through the creation of groups of experts from all public health institutions, which will decide on the inclusion of new medicines, therapies, devices and other inputs;

- preparing and updating the Guidelines for the Evaluation of Health Supplies; and
- preparing the Guidelines for Interchangeability Tests for medicines that will be submitted before COFEPRIS for the granting of marketing authorisation as generics.

In accordance with the General Health Law, COFEPRIS is in charge of the following:

- the sanitary regulation, surveillance and control of public social security institutions and private institutions;
- the sanitary control of products and services, and their importation and exportation;
- the sanitary control of the processing, use, maintenance, import, export and disposal of medical equipment, prosthetics, orthotics, functional aids, diagnostic agents, dental supplies, surgical materials, healing and hygienic products;
- preparing and issuing NOMs relating to health facilities, products and services;
- evaluating, issuing or revoking sanitary authorisations;
- exercising control and sanitary surveillance of drugs and other health supplies;
- disposal of organs, tissues, human cells and their components, toxic or dangerous substances, biotechnological products and raw materials;
- exercising control and surveillance of the advertising of sanitary activities, products and services; and
- imposing sanctions and implementing security measures.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Other agencies**

Which other agencies (eg, competition or securities regulators, prosecutors) have jurisdiction over healthcare, pharmaceutical and medical device cases?

The following agencies have jurisdiction over healthcare, pharmaceutical and medical device cases:

- the Mexican Institute of Industrial Property;
- the Federal Agency for the Protection of Consumers;
- the Federal Economic Competition Commission; and
- the Federal District Attorney's office.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Simultaneous investigations**

Can multiple government agencies simultaneously conduct an investigation of the same subject? Does a completed investigation bar another agency from investigating the same facts and circumstances?

Multiple government agencies can simultaneously conduct investigations on the same subject, provided that the corresponding actions are independent of each other and are intended for different purposes.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025

## REGULATION OF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS AND MEDICAL DEVICES

### Monitoring powers

What powers do the authorities have to monitor compliance with the rules on drugs and devices?

Pharmaceutical products

Pharmaceutical products are subject to the following provisions.

New molecules

Essentially, applicants for marketing authorisations must prove the safety and efficacy of their products through standard clinical trials, according to the rules set out by the General Health Law, its regulations and the Official Mexican Standards (NOMs) of good manufacturing of medicines and active ingredients. Concurrently, they also have to request approval of their products as new molecules from the New Molecules Committee of the Federal Commission for Protection against Sanitary Risk (COFEPRIS). According to the Health Law Regulations article 2 section XV, a new molecule is:

- an active ingredient or drug not approved worldwide (a new molecular entity);
- an active ingredient or drug already available in other countries, but with limited clinical experience or disputed information, that has not been approved in Mexico;
- a drug that is a non-marketed combination of two or more active ingredients; or
- an active ingredient or drug already available on the market, but to be marketed for a new therapeutic indication.

R&D companies benefit from a special procedure for drugs that have been previously approved by a regulatory authority abroad to be approved for the first time in Mexico.

Generics

Applicants for marketing authorisations must prove that their products are bioequivalent to the innovator product. They have to provide information concerning dissolution profiles or bioavailability studies regarding the reference product. COFEPRIS periodically issues a reference list of medicinal products. Recently, the NOM setting the test to prove that a generic drug is interchangeable with a reference drug was updated (NOM-177-SSA1-2013). Legally, COFEPRIS should not grant marketing authorisation for generics breaching exclusivity rights.

There is a linkage system between COFEPRIS and the Mexican Institute of Industrial Property (IMPI), which aims to prevent the granting of marketing authorisations in violation of patent rights. According to the Intellectual Properties Regulations, every six months IMPI must publish a gazette that includes patents covering allopathic medicines (the Linkage Gazette). The initial IMPI position was that only patents relating to a compound were relevant to linkage review (excluding formulation and use patents). On 31 July 2012, for the first time IMPI included formulation patents in the Linkage Gazette, in accordance with a 2010 ruling of the Mexican Supreme Court (Jurisprudence No. 2a/J7/2010, Federal Judicial Gazette, No. XXXI, page 135).

Use patents are included in the Linkage Gazette by court order, as IMPI considers that they should not be included in the linkage system.

Under the linkage regulations, at the filing of the application, the applicant must prove that he or she is the owner or licensee of the patent of the active ingredient of the product (recorded before IMPI), or state under oath that their application does not violate the list of products published in the Linkage Gazette and observes patent law.

#### Biologics

Under the General Health Law, applicants have to prove the quality, safety and efficacy of their products, and that they meet their regulations and applicable NOMs, particularly those for good manufacturing practices for medicinal products (NOM-059-SSA1-2015) and for active ingredients (NOM-164-SSA1-2015).

In accordance with NOM-257-SS1-2014, all biological drugs that were authorised before the legal reform and that are still on the market must enter a regularisation process to comply with the latest standards for biologics. NOM 257 emphasises that key points to ensure the safety, efficacy and quality of biologics are already regulated in other NOMs currently in effect, such as those for clinical trials and pharmacovigilance. NOM 257 empowers the Assessment Subcommittee on Biotech Products (SEPB) to assess technical and scientific data in connection with clinical trials, approval or renewal of innovator biologics or follow-on biologics (biocomparables), and to issue opinions to characterise biologics as innovators, reference products or biocomparables.

NOM 257 provides transitional provisions for the renewal of marketing authorisations of biologics granted before the amendments to the Health Law Regulations for Biologics issued in 2011 came into force. These provisions establish that:

- COFEPRIS will assess whether biologics refer to innovators or biocomparables;
- renewal applications for innovators will not require assessment by the SEPB; and
- renewal applications for biocomparables will require prior assessment by SEPB to identify the product of reference in order for applicants to submit the corresponding tests.

These provisions will be applicable only for those renewal applications submitted before 31 December 2015. COFEPRIS, however, missed an opportunity to address the current uncertainty in respect of Regulatory Data Protection for Biologics, as NOM 257 does not provide for guidelines in this regard.

#### Biocomparables (follow-ons)

Applicants must submit clinical tests, and, when appropriate, in vitro tests, to prove the safety, efficacy and quality of products comparable (similar) to those of the reference biologic. The pre-clinical and clinical test used by an applicant for a biocomparable must use the corresponding reference biologic to perform comparative and physicochemical studies. For this, the applicant must submit:

- in vitro studies;
- the report of a comparative pharmacokinetic test, if determined by the Ministry of Health, to show pharmacokinetic comparability on key parameters between both the follow-on and the reference biologic;
- pharmacodynamics test reports; and
- comparative efficacy and safety clinical test to show the similarity between both the follow-on and the reference biologic.

Although industry participants have welcomed amendments to the approval of biologics, specific rules to approve follow-ons have caused debate. There is currently no indication of a data protection period for biologics. Currently, recognition of data package exclusivity rights for biologics can only be achieved through litigation. Accordingly, there are also concerns regarding the accurate application by COFEPRIS of linkage provisions.

#### Orphan drugs

Orphan drugs were recently introduced into the General Health Law and the Mexican Pharmacopeia. In practice, they are approved by a particular procedure, following rules for new molecules when applicable and appropriate. Specific rules are still pending.

#### Medical devices

The primary legislation for medical devices and diagnostics are the General Health Law, its regulations and the NOM for good manufacturing practices regarding medical devices (NOM-241-SSA1-2012). In general, it would be fair to say that regulation regarding medical devices is lighter than that for drugs and other substances. According to their use, the General Health Law classifies medical devices into:

- medical equipment;
- prosthetics, orthotics and functional supports;
- diagnostic agents;
- dental supplies;
- surgical and healing materials; and
- hygiene products.

Marketing authorisation requirements for these devices depend on the level of risk involved in their use, according to a threefold classification:

- Class I: products that are well known in medical practice and for which safety and efficacy have been proven. They are not usually introduced into a patient's body;

- Class II: products that are well known in medical practice, but may have material or strength modifications. If introduced, they remain in a patient's body for less than 30 days; and
- Class III: products either recently accepted in medical practice or that remain in a patient's body for more than 30 days.

COFEPRIS analyses medical devices and, if applicable, the software that enables them to work. Conversely, mobile medical applications are a new area that COFEPRIS may address in the future with particular regulations, especially if they represent health risks. As an incentive, applicants can benefit from a special procedure for certain devices that have been previously approved by the US Drug and Food Administration and Health Canada to be approved in Mexico. This procedure is essentially based on a dossier filed with the foreign regulatory agency to reduce approval time. Industry participants have welcomed these new rules, but they are still being tested.

#### Powers to monitor compliance

COFEPRIS can request reports from marketing authorisation holders, and make on-site inspection visits in the manufacturing, distribution or storage facilities, essentially to verify that their products meet the approved specifications and do not represent a risk to public health, and to ensure that good manufacturing practices, stability, pharmacovigilance and labelling standards are complied with. COFEPRIS can initiate ex officio legal proceedings to sanction non-compliance. Ultimately, these legal proceedings can result in the revocation of the marketing authorisation.

COFEPRIS is also entitled to implement measures on behalf of public health, such as the seizure of products and ordering a partial or total suspension of activities, services or adverts.

Under certain conditions, COFEPRIS has statutory authority to revoke any manufacturing approval or impose sanctions, ranging from a fine of up to 16,000 times the minimum wage to the closure of the establishment. The imposition of administrative sanctions does not exclude civil and criminal liability. Administrative infringements can incur penalties ranging from a fine up to 20,000 times the minimum wage to the final closure of the establishment. Repeated infringements are considered a criminal offence.

COFEPRIS has broad jurisdiction to seize counterfeit or illegal medicines. The General Health Law classifies the manufacturing and sale of counterfeit or falsified medicine as a crime. In addition, COFEPRIS commonly enters into collaboration agreements with the office of the Attorney General of Mexico (FGR) and the Customs Office in order to investigate and prevent counterfeit and illegal medicines.

COFEPRIS has a permanent pharmacovigilance programme. Under the Health Law Regulations and NOMs, COFEPRIS's monitoring is focused, among other things, on the following:

- ensuring compliance with good manufacturing practices and standard operating procedures;
- ensuring that activities performed do not exceed authorised limits or differ from those authorised activities; and

- ensuring that companies perform validation analyses of their manufacturing processes and systems.

COFEPRIS is entitled to implement measures to protect public health, such as:

- seizure of products;
- ordering the partial or total suspension of activities, services or adverts;
- revoke a company's manufacturing approval;
- impose sanctions, ranging from a fine of up to 16,000 times the minimum wage to the closure of an establishment; and
- make on-site inspection visits to manufacturing, distribution and storage facilities.

The imposition of administrative sanctions does not exclude civil and criminal liability. Affected parties are entitled to appeal decisions by COFEPRIS through the applicable administrative or judicial venues.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Investigation time frames**

**How long do investigations typically take from initiation to completion?  
How are investigations started?**

Investigations conducted by COFEPRIS can be initiated either by the complaint of an individual or by COFEPRIS itself. However, the duration of the investigation varies depending on the complexity of the case. Certain investigations related to the counterfeiting and commercialisation of illegal medicines are generally conducted in a matter of days.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Access to investigation materials**

**What rights or access does the subject of an investigation have to the government investigation files and materials?**

In most contentious administrative and judicial proceedings, the subject of an investigation has full access to the files and materials, except for the information expressly classified as confidential due to the request of an authority or another individual. Third parties are usually restricted from accessing files and materials submitted before COFEPRIS by companies or individuals during the prosecution of administrative proceedings.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Investigations abroad**

**If pharmaceutical products or medical devices are made in a foreign country, may the authorities conduct investigations of the manufacturing processes in that other country?**

No, but under article 168 of the Health Law Regulations, to hold a marketing authorisation foreign applicants must have:

- an approval from COFEPRIS for a manufacturing facility or laboratory for medicines or biologic products for human use in Mexico; or
- an equivalent approval (eg, a licence, certificate or another permit document) for any of these facilities abroad from the competent authority in the country of origin.

**Law stated - 24 octubre 2025**

## **Enforcement proceedings**

### **Through what proceedings do agencies enforce the rules?**

Most agencies hold their own administrative proceedings, and the possibility of applying later to a court remains available. COFEPRIS is entitled to revoke sanitary authorisations in the following cases:

- when the corresponding products or activities constitute a risk of harm to human health;
- when exercising an authorised activity exceeds the limits set in the respective authorisation;
- when the authorisation is used for different purposes;
- for non-compliance with the Health Law or Regulations;
- when the product covered by the authorisation does not meet or no longer meets specifications or requirements established by the Health Law, NOMs and other general provisions;
- when information or documents provided by the applicant is false;
- when the reports provided by authorised third parties are false; and
- when the products no longer possess the attributes or characteristics under which they were authorised or lose their preventive or therapeutic properties.

There is also an available action called *accion popular*, whereby any individual with or without proper legal standing can file a complaint before COFEPRIS, arguing and proving that there are certain health risks associated with a product in the market. However, the claimant's procedural rights are very limited, and these actions are intended to end a health risk and not to obtain compensation.

In coordination with COFEPRIS, the FGR is entitled to investigate and prevent the commercialisation of illegal medicines and also to implement measures on behalf of public health, such as the seizure of products.

The Federal Agency for the Protection of Consumers (PROFECO) can start proceedings for violations of NOMs. Individuals are entitled to file complaints against the providers of a service or manufacturers of a product. PROFECO, non-profit associations and a common representative of a group of at least 30 members can now pursue class actions. The federal procedural laws have been amended to allow class actions before the federal courts.



The Federal Economic Competition Commission (COFECE) can conduct investigations on many aspects related to the manufacturing and commercialisation of medicines and carry out inspection visits on requests of individuals or on its own initiative. After the conclusion of the investigation stage, COFECE will determine whether to close the case or to start administrative proceedings. In both cases, COFECE can impose preliminary injunctions. The affected party can claim damages before a court. Follow-on private litigation against manufacturers is possible but is not as common as in other jurisdictions, such as the United States. Additionally, COFECE can file criminal complaints.

Individuals can file patent infringement and unfair competition claims with IMPI, which is entitled to implement preliminary measures while investigating the infringement, which includes:

- the recall of infringing goods, or preventing their circulation;
- infringing articles to be withdrawn from circulation, including tools used in the manufacture, production or obtaining of infringing articles;
- the alleged transgressor or third parties to suspend or cease all acts that violate the law; and
- suspension of services or closure of an establishment, when other measures are insufficient to prevent or avoid a violation of rights protected by law.

On 1 July 2020, and as a result of the entry into force of the United States–Mexico–Canada Agreement (USMCA), which replaced the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), the new Federal Law for Protection of the Industrial Property (the IP Law), was enacted. The new IP Law came into force on 5 November 2020. The current system requires parties to first obtain a final declaration of infringement from IMPI before requesting compensation in the civil courts. The new IP Law offers two new options to file a claim for patent infringement.

- File a civil action directly with the civil courts. This gives the civil courts the authority to resolve disputes in accordance with the IP Law. However, if the validity of the IP right is challenged, the civil procedure will be suspended until the IMPI decides on the invalidity action.
- File an infringement action with the IMPI and request the determination of damages in a special incidental proceeding once the infringement is declared. The decision on damages can then be enforced by the civil courts. However, according to the transitory provisions of the new law, this option will only be available when the IMPI is ready to implement it. There are currently no clear indications of when this may occur.

The imposition of administrative sanctions does not exclude civil and criminal liability.

Patent holders can enforce border measures and the remedies provided by the IP Law. If a generic application is approved while the corresponding patent is still in force, the patent holder or licensee can bring a court action against marketing approval and a patent infringement action to stop the manufacture and sale of products.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## | Sanctions

## What sanctions and other measures can the authorities impose or seek in enforcement actions against drug and device manufacturers and their distributors?

COFEPRIS can initiate ex officio legal proceedings to sanction non-compliance. Ultimately, these legal proceedings can result in the revocation of the marketing authorisation. It is also entitled to implement measures on behalf of public health, such as the seizure of products and ordering the partial or total suspension of activities, services or adverts.

Under certain conditions, COFEPRIS has statutory authority to revoke any manufacturing approval or impose sanctions, ranging from economic fines to closure of the establishment. The imposition of administrative sanctions does not exclude civil and criminal liability.

COFEPRIS has broad jurisdiction to seize counterfeit or illegal medicines. The General Health Law classifies the manufacturing and sale of counterfeit or falsified medicine as a crime. In addition, COFEPRIS commonly enters into collaboration agreements with the FGR and the Customs Office in order to investigate and prevent counterfeit and illegal medicines.

COFEPRIS can make on-site inspection visits to manufacturing, distribution or storage facilities.

In coordination with COFEPRIS, the FGR is entitled to investigate and prevent the commercialisation of illegal medicines and implement measures on behalf of public health, such as the seizure of products.

COFEPRIS has a permanent pharmacovigilance programme. Under the Health Law Regulations and NOMs, COFEPRIS's monitoring is focused, among other things, on the following:

- ensuring compliance with good manufacturing practices and standard operating procedures;
- ensuring that activities performed do not exceed either authorised limits or differ from those authorised activities; and
- ensuring that companies perform validation analyses of their manufacturing processes and systems.

Individuals can file patent infringement and unfair competition claims before IMPI, which is entitled to implement preliminary measures while investigating the infringement. These measures include:

- ordering the recall of infringing goods, or preventing their circulation;
- ordering the withdrawal of infringing articles from circulation, including tools used in the manufacture, production or obtaining of infringing articles;
- ordering the alleged transgressor or third parties to suspend or cease all acts that violate the law; and
- ordering the suspension of services or closure of an establishment when other measures are insufficient to prevent or avoid a violation of rights protected by law.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Actions against employees**

**Can the authorities pursue actions against employees as well as the company itself?**

Yes, the General Health Law includes a chapter (Title Eighteenth, Chapter VI) on specific offences in which both individuals and the responsible legal entity may be the subject of an enforcement action.

**Law stated - 24 octubre 2025**

### **Defences and appeals**

**What defences and appeals are available to drug and device company defendants in an enforcement action?**

Company defendants are entitled to file a nonconformity recourse against the decisions issued by COFEPRIS within 15 working days of the issuance of the decision. Likewise, a decision issued by an administrative authority can be appealed through a review recourse before the corresponding authority within 15 working days of the issuance of the decision. The decision issued in the review recourse can be challenged by means of a nullity trial before an administrative court (the Federal Court for Administrative Affairs), and finally before an administrative Federal Circuit Court.

**Law stated - 24 octubre 2025**

### **Minimising exposure**

**What strategies should companies adopt to minimise their exposure to enforcement actions and reduce their liability once an enforcement action is under way?**

Companies should focus on diagnosis of the problem and resolution through institutional proceedings, appealing adverse decisions when applicable.

**Law stated - 24 octubre 2025**

### **Recent enforcement activities**

**What have the authorities focused on in their recent drugs and devices enforcement activity and what sanctions have been imposed?**

In past years, COFEPRIS' enforcement activities have been focused on the seizure of illegal medicines, which has resulted in closure of the establishment and suspension of activities.

Recently, COFEPRIS has been targeting companies that promote, through digital means, the sale of prescription drugs that are either innovative medicines approved in Mexico but manufactured and acquired abroad or generics that have not been authorised in Mexico and that are characterised by having prices considerably lower than those available in Mexico

to individuals. Acquisitions of such drugs are made unlawfully through import permits for purported personal use, and sometimes they are not even registered as the quantities are so low. In these cases, the affected companies have filed *acciones populares* claiming the illegal importation and commercialisation of their products and the generics on the grounds of unfair competition, noncompliance with regulatory requirements and, of course, infringement of industrial property rights.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025

### Self-governing bodies

Are there self-governing bodies for the companies that sell pharmaceutical products and medical devices? How do those organisations police members' conduct?

The National Chamber of the Pharmaceutical Industry exercises institutional representation of the pharmaceutical industry before the Mexican authorities. Affiliate members are required to comply with the codes issued by the organisation.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025

## RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS AND SUPPLIERS

### Relationship rules

What are the rules prohibiting or controlling the financial relationships between healthcare professionals and suppliers of products and services?

There are several bodies of law that refer in general terms to the relationship between the pharmaceutical industry and healthcare professionals, such as the Health Law and Health Law Regulations (including those that concern the sanitary control of activities, establishments, products and services).

Industry Codes of Practice complement these regulations. The Council of Ethics and Transparency of the Pharmaceutical Industry (CETIFARMA) has issued the following self-regulatory instruments:

- the Code of Ethics and Transparency of the Pharmaceutical Industry;
- the Code of Good Practices of Promotion (GPP Code); and
- the Code of Good Practices of Interaction of the Pharmaceutical Industry with Patient Organisations.

The latest versions of these Codes have been in force since 1 April 2013. Affiliate members of the National Chamber of the Pharmaceutical Industry (CANIFARMA) are required to follow these Codes. CETIFARMA supervises members' and adherents' compliance.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025

## Enforcement

### How are the rules enforced?

#### Scientific and educational events

The GPP Code states that the main purposes of congresses, lectures, symposia, meetings and other similar scientific or educational events sponsored, financed or supported by pharmaceutical companies or any other third party must be:

- scientific exchange;
- medical education; and
- information about medicines.

Whenever support for continuing education or independent educational programmes is being provided, the education of healthcare professionals should be encouraged, primarily to improve their knowledge of patient care. In each case, programmes must comply with the guidelines of the applicable laws. They must have strict policies that scientific content is sustained, if required, on clinical evidence. Also, most importantly, they must be accredited and certified by the corresponding academic authorities. Under no circumstances will support be offered to influence the decision-making process involved in prescribing medicines or buying, including, excluding or modifying official product catalogues.

#### Samples

According to the GPP Code, samples are provided directly, in fair amounts and without cost to healthcare professionals, so that they may become familiar with the product or initiate treatment. According to article 49 of the Health Law Regulations concerning advertising, providing free samples of products does not require approval, provided that they meet the requirements of the approved medicinal product. These samples should be contained in a package with a smaller number of units than the approved product.

The GPP Code establishes guidelines for sampling. It prohibits members from offering or supplying samples with the aim of seeking or rewarding prescription practices. The Code also forbids any trade of samples. Members are required to have full and up-to-date control of their samples, including their manufacture, storage, delivery to regional coordinators or others, and provision to medical representatives and physicians. We always recommend that our clients have strict control of product samples as there have been cases of resale of said samples.

#### Gifts and donations

The GPP Code essentially states that companies must act responsibly regarding sponsorships and donations. No gifts of significant commercial value or incentives of any kind may be offered to healthcare professionals as an inducement to use, prescribe, purchase or recommend a specific product or influence the results of a clinical study. Similarly, no gifts, bonuses, pecuniary advantages, benefits in kind or any sort of incentive may be offered or promised to healthcare professionals, administrative staff or government

employees involved in the cycle of prescription, purchase, distribution, dispensing and administration of medicines, except in the case of inexpensive promotional aids related to the practice of medicine or pharmaceutical activities. The GPP Code delineates an inexpensive promotional aid as one that does not exceed the equivalent of 10 times the minimum wage (around US\$85).

Concerning healthcare professionals in government institutions, article 47 of the Federal Law of Responsibilities for Government Officers expressly forbids these officers from requesting, accepting or receiving any gifts or donations from persons whose commercial or industrial activities are directly linked, regulated or supervised by government officers.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Reporting requirements**

**What are the reporting requirements on such financial relationships? Is the reported information publicly available?**

The GPP Code establishes that collaboration between the pharmaceutical industry and patient organisations must have a written agreement in place that includes:

- activities to be undertaken, cost, source and destination of funding; and
- direct and indirect support and any other relevant non-financial aid.

In these agreements, members must follow their applicable guidelines and codes of ethics and conduct, have transparent practices and use deontological instruments approved by CETIFARMA and CANIFARMA. The GPP Code requires members to set forth criteria and procedures for the approval and implementation of these kinds of collaborations. Any other kind of sponsorship provided by social, governmental or private sector organisations should not be excluded.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **REGULATION OF HEALTHCARE DELIVERY**

### **Authority powers**

**What powers do the authorities have to monitor compliance with the rules on delivery of healthcare?**

The Ministry of Health and the governments of the states are in charge of monitoring health professionals when providing the following services.

- Conducting sanitary evaluations and verification visits and, as a result, issuing an official report that states whether the subject of the investigation complied with laws, regulations and Official Mexican Standards (NOMs). In the case of non-compliance, the health authority in charge of the investigation will initiate the corresponding administrative proceeding.
- Applying sanctions and safety measures when appropriate and verifying compliance.

Physicians are also subject to liability for malpractice. Patients can choose between filing a civil action or requesting medical arbitration from the National Commission of Medical Arbitration (CONAMED). The latter is a quick alternative where a non-judicial solution is proposed. Decisions by CONAMED can be enforced through a judicial process.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Investigation time frames**

**How long do investigations of healthcare providers typically take from initiation to completion? How are investigations started?**

The duration of an investigation varies depending on the complexity of the case. The establishment or site requiring an evaluation or verification visit is determined by any of the following:

- random selection;
- a previous contingency or health emergency;
- programmes determined by the health authority;
- a claim by a third party;
- the request of the owner; and
- any follow-ups to an administrative procedure initiated by the health authority.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Access to investigation materials**

**What rights or access does the subject of an investigation have to the government investigation files and materials?**

The subject of an investigation has full access to the files and materials, except for any information that has been expressly classified as confidential upon the request of the authority or another individual.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Enforcement agencies**

**Through what proceedings do agencies enforce the rules?**

Most agencies hold their own administrative proceedings, although applying to a court later remains available. The Ministry of Health and governments of the states are in charge of performing regular sanitary evaluations and verification visits to public and private institutions that, depending on the results, can lead to the application of sanctions and safety measures. The imposition of administrative sanctions does not exclude civil and criminal liability.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **Sanctions**

### **What sanctions and other measures can the authorities impose or seek in enforcement actions against healthcare providers?**

If the sanitary conditions of the establishment, raw materials, process, procedures or products present a significant risk to health or lack the essential requirements of the law and other applicable provisions, verifiers should take immediate security measures with the approval or consent of the health authority on which they depend. The competent health authorities may order the application of the following security measures:

- isolation;
- quarantine;
- personal observation;
- vaccination of persons;
- vaccination of animals;
- destruction or control of insects or other vermin;
- suspension of work or services;
- suspension of advertising;
- issue of advertising messages that warn of potential damage to health;
- seizure and destruction of objects, products or substances;
- eviction from houses, buildings, facilities and any property in general; and
- other health measures as determined by the competent health authorities.

The sanitary authority has statutory powers to impose sanctions, ranging from economic fines to closure of the establishment. The imposition of administrative sanctions does not exclude civil and criminal liability.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **Defences and appeals**

### **What defences and appeals are available to healthcare providers in an enforcement action?**

Healthcare providers are entitled to file administrative, civil and criminal complaints against sanctions or adverse decisions. The National Commission of Medical Arbitration provides guidance and assistance to healthcare providers during the process of any complaint filed against them for medical negligence and during the medical arbitration proceeding.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **Minimising exposure**



## What strategies should healthcare providers adopt to minimise their exposure to enforcement actions and reduce their liability once an enforcement action is under way?

Healthcare providers should focus on the diagnosis of the problem and its resolution through institutional proceedings, appealing adverse decisions when applicable.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## Recent enforcement activities

### What have the authorities focused on in their recent enforcement activity and what sanctions have been imposed on healthcare providers?

Enforcement activity has been focused on the inspection of private clinics. This has resulted in closures of establishments and suspension of activities due to significant risk to health, lack of essential requirements for operation, and uncertified medical personnel.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## Self-governing bodies

### Are there self-governing bodies for healthcare providers? How do those organisations police members' conduct?

Healthcare providers in Mexico are grouped and represented by different private associations depending on their specialisation and field of work.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## Remedies for poor performance

### What remedies for poor performance does the government typically include in its contracts with healthcare providers?

Contracts for the acquisition of health supplies and health services provisions usually include the following sanctions:

- penalties for delays in compliance with agreed dates of delivery or service provision, which shall not exceed the amount of the guarantee of compliance of the contract, and which will be determined according to the goods or services not delivered or rendered on time; and
- where a supplier totally or partially breaches any of the obligations expressly established in a contract, termination of the contract by the appropriate government institution in advance, without liability and without any judicial resolution.

Contracts for the acquisition of medicines or health supplies provide that the appropriate government institution may request that the supplier exchange goods with defects, or the total devolution of the goods where, after delivering the new batches, the same defect is detected.

The supplier of the goods is obliged to respond at its own risk regarding claims that failure or negligence on its part has caused problems for government institutions or third parties.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT

### Causes of action

**What private causes of action may citizens or other private bodies bring to enforce a healthcare regulation or law?**

Besides civil and criminal actions, to enforce a healthcare regulation or law, citizens or other private bodies can file a constitutional action against a particular act or omission of the authority, grounding their legal standing in article 4 of the Mexican Constitution, which provides the human right of due access to healthcare.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### Framework for claims

**What is the framework for claims of clinical negligence against healthcare providers?**

Patients or relatives of patients who have received medical, public or private care that potentially caused them harm because of malpractice are entitled to file complaints against healthcare providers. The National Commission of Medical Arbitration (CONAMED) provides guidance and expert advice to patients and healthcare providers about their rights and obligations. It also receives and investigates cases related to irregularity or refusal of justified or urgent medical services by public institutions.

Patients are entitled to file a complaint before CONAMED, in which case such authority will be a mediator between the patient and the healthcare provider with the purpose of achieving a settlement agreement. If this is not the case, the patient can choose between submitting to a medical arbitration proceeding before CONAMED or filing a civil action. Decisions issued by CONAMED may include:

- an order for the provision of adequate medical care; and
- an order that the patient receives reimbursement, compensation or both.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### Seeking recourse

**How and on what grounds may purchasers or users of pharmaceuticals or devices seek recourse for regulatory and legal infringements?**

Individuals are entitled to file complaints against the providers of a service or manufacturers of a product before the Federal Agency for the Protection of Consumers (PROFECO), on

the grounds that the product of interest does not comply with the essential requirements provided by the applicable regulations and Official Mexican Standards (NOMs) or the advertised characteristics and functionality. PROFECO, non-profit associations and a common representative of a group of at least 30 members can now pursue class actions. The federal procedural laws have been amended to allow class actions before the federal courts.

The Federal Economic Competition Commission (COFECE) can conduct investigations on many aspects related to the manufacturing and commercialisation of medicines and carry out inspection visits on requests of individuals or on its own initiative. After conclusion of the investigation stage, COFECE will determine whether to close the case or start administrative proceedings. In both cases, COFECE can impose preliminary injunctions. The affected party can claim damages before a court. Follow-on private litigation against manufacturers is possible, but is not as common as in other jurisdictions, such as the United States. Additionally, COFECE can file criminal complaints.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## Compensation

### Are there any compensation schemes in place?

There are no specific compensation schemes in place; however, the applicable laws aim to establish the bases and proceedings for recognising the right to compensation of those who suffered damages.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## Class and collective actions

### Are class actions or other collective claims available in cases related to drugs, devices and provision of care?

The federal procedural laws have been amended to allow class actions before the federal courts. PROFECO, the Attorney General's Office, non-profit associations and a common representative of a group of at least 30 members can now pursue class actions. These amendments are subject to testing in the courts, and, apparently, there are no precedents of class actions for product liability.

In addition, there is an action available called *accion popular*, whereby any individual with or without proper legal standing can file a complaint before the Federal Commission for Protection against Sanitary Risk, arguing and proving that there are certain health risks in a product in the market. However, the claimant's procedural rights are very limited, and these actions are intended to stop health risks, not to obtain compensation.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## Review

### **Are acts, omissions or decisions of public and private institutions active in the healthcare sphere subject to judicial or administrative review following a complaint from interested parties?**

Yes. Acts, omissions and decisions of both public and private institutions can be the subjects of administrative, civil and criminal complaints from interested parties before the courts. Actions should be filed as soon as possible to duly attend to and repair the claimed act or omission. In these types of cases, the legal standing of the complainant is grounded in the human right of due access to health. In relevant cases, it has been decided that the state will always be responsible for appropriate healthcare, even if the claimed act or omission derives from a private institution.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Whistleblowers**

#### **Are there any legal protections for whistleblowers?**

No, in Mexico we do not have a figure equivalent to a whistle-blower. The Federal Law on the Administrative Responsibilities of Public Servants provides that public servants must inform their superiors in writing about any conclusive doubts that arise from the origin of the orders they receive that could constitute an infringement of any legal or administrative provision. However, the law fails to consider the protection that should be granted to the public servant, or the process that should be implemented to preserve the confidentiality of the denouncement.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Whistleblowers**

#### **Does the country have a reward mechanism for whistleblowers?**

No.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Whistleblowers**

#### **Are mechanisms allowing whistleblowers to report infringements required?**

Yes. The Ministry of Public Administration is the authority in charge of verifying that public servants act in accordance with the applicable laws during the exercise of their functions, and is the authority in charge of implementing the corresponding sanctions.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **CROSS-BORDER ENFORCEMENT AND EXTRATERRITORIALITY**

### **Cooperation with foreign counterparts**

#### **Do prosecutors and law enforcement authorities in your country cooperate with their foreign counterparts in healthcare cases?**

Yes. In accordance with the Health Law, its Regulations and the international treaties subscribed to by Mexico, the Ministry of Health is in charge of institutional relationships with the health dependencies of other governments and international organisations in order to facilitate the provision of technical advice, information and assistance in everything related to sanitary regulation, control and health promotion.

Additionally, the Ministry of Health notifies the World Health Organization of all the measures it has taken, temporarily or permanently, in international health, as well as any case of interest in the surveillance of the diseases listed in the International Health Regulations.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Triggering investigations**

#### **In what circumstances will enforcement activities by foreign authorities trigger an investigation in your country?**

When the Ministry of Health receives an international communication, alert or requirement on health matters, in coordination with the corresponding administrative entities (ie, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Ministry of the Interior) it will conduct inspection visits to verify compliance or noncompliance with international sanitation rules, which could lead to an administrative procedure in accordance with the applicable laws.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

### **Pursuing foreign entities for infringement**

#### **In what circumstances will foreign companies and foreign nationals be pursued for infringements of your country's healthcare laws?**

Mexican healthcare laws, regulations and official standards are equally enforceable against foreign companies and nationals.

**Law stated - 24 octobre 2025**

## **UPDATE AND TRENDS**

### **Key developments of the past year**

What are the authorities' healthcare and/or pharmaceutical products and medical devices enforcement priorities likely to be in the coming year? Are there any noteworthy cases pending? Are there any current developments or emerging policy or enforcement trends that should be noted?

On 1 July 2020, as a result of the entry into force of the United States–Mexico–Canada Agreement, the new Federal Law for Protection of the Industrial Property (the IP Law) was enacted. The new IP Law represented an important legislative change as it aimed to match the domestic law with the standards set by the new trade and cooperation agreements signed by Mexico in recent years. It came into force on 5 November 2020. Due to this, amendments to the health laws are expected.

On 6 March 2025, a Decree providing guidelines about the technical collaboration between the Mexican Institute of Industrial Property (IMPI) and the Federal Commission for Protection against Health Risks (COFEPRIS) was published in the Federal Official Gazette.

In brief, the key points of the Decree under report are the following:

- Establishing the rules for communications between IMPI and COFEPRIS.
- Guidelines for new 'forms', which will be published on the official websites of both authorities. At the time of writing, these forms have not yet been published.
- The information that should be included in the Allopathic Medicines Gazette and the corresponding technical communications between COFEPRIS and IMPI.
- COFEPRIS will publish a list of Marketing Authorizations (MA) Applications for generics and biosimilars. This list (with no rules on temporality and forms) will be considered a warning to the public to detect potential harm to patent rights.
- In the case of potential harm to patent rights, an opposition 'form' can be filed by the patent owner or its licensee and/or sublicensee before COFEPRIS within the statutory term of 10 working days of the publication date of such list.
- The communication rendered by COFEPRIS to IMPI concerning the technical communication should attach the 'opposition form' along with the information provided by the patent owner or its licensee and/or sublicensee.

The most relevant provisions included within the Decree are the publication of the list of MA applications and the 'opportunity' to file an opposition by the patent owner if he or she considers that a patent right is affected by the MA applications.

The Decree is legally grounded on specific provisions of the IP Law, Health Regulations and the USMCA. It seems that the Decree intends to comply with the provisions of the USMCA, where it is provided that if a person or company (patent owner) is directly affected by a proceeding, in this case, the MA applications, they must be given with a reasonable opportunity to present facts and arguments, before issuing the corresponding decision on the MA application.

However, the USMCA establishes the burden to the state party to provide the corresponding notice to the patent holder who would be directly affected by the marketing authorisation application proceeding; on the contrary, this Decree imposes on the patent holders the burden of identifying themselves as affected parties without being personally notified by COFEPRIS or IMPI.

The guidelines provided are a step forward in the Mexican Linkage System, as it clarifies the information to be exchanged by these authorities. Nonetheless, for the reasons commented, we consider that the Decree does not observe the obligations of proper notice established in the USMCA for the Mexican Patent Linkage. This conclusion could be summarised in that a notice process's legal burden, obligations and formalities differ from an opposition system.

Law stated - 24 octobre 2025